### Math 2050A Test 2, 11 Nov

Answer the following four questions

- 1. (a) State without proof the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (5);
  - (b) State the definition of Cauchy sequence (5);
  - (c) Prove that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of real number is convergent if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence. (10)

### Solution:

- (a) If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  that is convergent.
- (b) A sequence is a Cauchy sequence if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall m, n > N, |x_m x_n| < \epsilon$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent and denote  $a = \lim x_n$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n > N, |x_n a| < \epsilon/2$ . For  $m, n > N, |x_m x_n| \leq |x_n a| + |x_m a| < \epsilon$ .  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Then  $\exists N_1$  such that  $\forall m, n > N_1, |x_m - x_n| < 1$ . Take  $m = N_1 + 1$ . Then  $\forall n > N_1, |x_n - x_{N_1+1}| < 1$ , or equivalently,  $x_{N_1+1} - 1 < x_n < x_{N_1+1} + 1$ . Let  $M_1 = \min\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_{N_1}, x_{N_1+1} + 1\}, M_2 = \max\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_{N_1}, x_{N_1+1} + 1\}$ . Then  $M_1 \leq x_n \leq M_2, \forall n$ . We know that  $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$

that is convergent. Denote  $a = \lim x_{n_k}$ .

Now fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists N_1, \forall k > N_1, |x_{n_k} - a| < \epsilon/2$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy,  $\exists N_2, \forall k, n > N_2, |x_k - x_n| < \epsilon/2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $N_2 > N_1$ . Since  $n_k \ge k$ , we also have  $|x_{n_k} - x_n| < \epsilon/2$  and  $|x_n - a| \le |x_{n_k} - a| + |x_n - x_{n_k} < \epsilon$ . Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent.

2. Using  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  terminology or the sequential criterion to show that

(a) 
$$\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 1} = 2$$
 (10);  
(b)  $\lim_{x \to 1, x > 1} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 1} = +\infty$  (10);  
(c)  $\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 1} = 1$  (10).

Solution:

(a) 
$$\left|\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1}-2\right| = \left|\frac{x+2}{x^2-1}\right| |x-2|$$
. When  $|x-2| < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\left|\frac{x+2}{x^2-1}\right| < \frac{18}{5}$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  
let  $\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{18}\epsilon\}$ . Then  $|x-2| < \delta$  implies that  $\left|\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1}-2\right| < \epsilon$ . Hence  
 $\lim_{x\to 2} \frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} = 2$ .

(b) 
$$\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} = \frac{x^2+2}{x+1}\frac{1}{x-1}$$
. When  $1 < x < 2$ ,  $\frac{x^2+2}{x+1} > 1$ . For  $E > 0$ , let  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{1}{E} + 1\}$ . Then  $0 < x - 1 < \delta$  implies that  $\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} > E$ . Hence  $\lim_{x \to 1, x > 1} \frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} = +\infty$ .  
(c)  $|\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} - 1| = |\frac{3}{x+1}||\frac{1}{x-1}| < \frac{3}{2(x-1)}$  when  $x > 1$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $M = \max\{1, \frac{3}{2\epsilon} + 1\}$ . Then  $x > M$  implies that  $|\frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} - 1| < \epsilon$ . Hence  $\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{x^2+2}{x^2-1} = 1$ .

- 3. Suppose  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are two sequences of real numbers.
  - (a) Suppose  $0 \leq |a_n| < b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  is convergent, show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent (10). (Remark: This is called the absolute convergence test.)
  - (b) Prove the Dirichlet test by establishing the followings:
    - (i) (10) Show by mathematical induction that for  $n \ge 2$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (b_{k+1} - b_k) = a_n b_{n+1} - a_1 b_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} b_k (a_k - a_{k-1}).$$

(ii) (10) Suppose  $a_n$  is monotonic non-increasing (i.e.  $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} a_n = 0$  and there exists M > 0 so that  $|\sum_{k=1}^n b_k| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using (a) and (b-i), show that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$  converges. (Hint: Write  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k$  as  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k (B_k - B_{k-1})$  where  $B_m = \sum_{k=1}^m b_k$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B_0 = 0$ .)

## Solution:

- (a) Let  $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$  and  $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ . Since  $B_n$  is convergent, it is Cauchy, and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall m > n > N, |B_m B_n| = b_{n+1} + \dots + b_m < \epsilon$ , and then  $|A_m A_n| = |a_{n+1} + \dots + a_m| \leq |a_{n+1}| + \dots + |a_m| \leq b_{n+1} + \dots + b_m < \epsilon$ .  $\{A_n\}$  is Cauchy and thus converges.
- (b) (i) For n = 2,  $a_1(b_2 b_1) + a_2(b_3 b_2) = a_2b_3 a_1b_1 b_2(a_2 a_1)$ . Suppose that the equality holds for n = m, then for n = m + 1,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} a_k (b_{k+1} - b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k (b_{k+1} - b_k) + a_{m+1} (b_{m+2} - b_{m+1})$$
$$= a_{m+1} b_{m+2} - a_1 b_1 - b_{m+1} (a_{m+1} - a_m) - \sum_{k=2}^m b_k (a_k - a_{k-1})$$
$$= a_{m+1} b_{m+2} - a_1 b_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} b_k (a_k - a_{k-1}).$$

### (ii) We calculate that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (B_k - B_{k-1})$$
$$= a_n B_n - a_1 B_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} B_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})$$
$$= a_n B_n - \sum_{k=2}^{n} B_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})$$

since  $B_0 = 0$ . Now  $B_n$  is bounded and  $\lim a_n = 0$ , so  $\lim a_n B_n = 0$  (why?) Note that  $|B_{k-1}(a_k - a_{k-1})| < M(a_{k-1} - a_k)$  and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} M(a_{k-1} - a_k) = Ma_1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} Ma_n = Ma_1.$$

By (i) we know that  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_{k-1}(a_k - a_{k-1})$  is convergent. Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k$  is convergent.

4. Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . If f has a limit L as  $x \to 0$ . Show that L = 0 and f has a limit at every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Solution:

Repeatedly applying the condition f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) we obtain  $f(1) = f(\frac{1}{n} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}) = nf(\frac{1}{n})$ , or  $f(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{f(1)}{n}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If f has a limit L as  $x \to 0$ , then  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\frac{1}{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(1)}{n} = 0$  since f(1) is a constant. From f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) we also have f(x) - f(c) = f(x-c). Now for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $|x-c| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x-c) - 0| = |f(x-c)| < \epsilon$ , or equivalently,  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ . That is,  $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = f(c)$ .